

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,727	06/25/2001	Bernhard H. Weigl	MICRO1180	1517
500 7:	500 7590 09/06/2006		EXAMINER	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
701 FIFTH AV	E			
SUITE 6300 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1743	
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	
ν	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/888,727	WEIGL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lyle A. Alexander	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	ne 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,33 and 34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,33 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
· · _						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO.413)				
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Vigh or Weigl et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 7/28/05 Office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/26/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' remarks and amendments concerning the 35 USC 112 second paragraph issues were convincing and these rejections have been vacated.

Applicants' state Vigh and Weigl do not teach the claimed steps of (1) selecting a fluid with a known viscosity, (2) sheathing a first fluid within the center of the second fluid and (3) flowing the sheathed second fluid through a channel. Point (3) will not be further considered because it is not commensurate in scope with the pending claims.

Both Vigh and Weigl select fluids with known viscosities clearly meeting the first requirement. Vigh teaches in claims 22+ sheathing a first fluid within a second fluid which clearly meets the second requirement. Weigl teaches in column 4 lines 14+ sheath flow which clearly meets requirement (3).

Applicants' also state the cited prior art fails to teach (1) the second fluid completely surrounding the first fluid, (2) the flow rate is constant across the center region, (3) the flow rate of the two fluids are substantially equal and (4) the second fluid

Art Unit: 1743

is selected for its desired properties of the interface between the first and second fluids. Applicants' state the Office has put forth Vigh and Weigl teach (2) and (3) and have the characteristics of (1) and (3). Applicants' state the Office has failed to each a method comprising step (1) and the characteristics of (2) and (4).

The definition of sheath flow is the encompassing of a first fluid by a second fluid. The Office maintains the art of record by teach sheath flow meets (1). The Office maintains Vigh et al. teach in column 8 lines 55+ the amount of sheath fluid matches the amount of sample. The Office has read this teaching as a constant, equal amount of sheath fluid supplied to a constant, equal amount of sample and meets the (2) requirements. Finally, (4) it is not clear what the claimed "desired flow rate at the interface" is. The claim language is sufficiently broad, the system of Vigh et al. must have the characteristics desired by Vigh et al. and has been properly read on the instant claims.

Weigl teaches sheathing of the two fluids in column 44 which meets (1). Weigl teaches in column 7 the two fluid streams may have equal flow rates which meets the (2) requirement. Finally, (4) it is not clear what the claimed "desired flow rate at the interface" is. The claim language is sufficiently broad, the system of Weigl. must have the characteristics desired by Weigl and has been properly read on the instant claims.

Conclusion

This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/888,727. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had

been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/888,727

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743 Page 5
